

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-21 are currently pending in the application. Applicant has canceled claims 1-13 and 15, and amended claim 14. Applicant requests reconsideration of the application in light of the following remarks.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15, 20 and 21 were objected to by the Examiner as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant thanks the Examiner for this indication of allowable subject matter. Claim 14 has been amended herein to incorporate the limitations of claim 15 and is therefore believed to be allowable. Claim 14 was further amended to remove the requirement that the key chain be coupled to the cap as it is believed that said limitation is unnecessary for patentability. Claims 16-21 now depend from an allowed claim. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of these claims.

Claims 1-13 and 15 have been canceled herein in favor of a continuation application which will pursue these claims further.

CONCLUSION

In summary, and in view of the amendments herein, none of the references cited by the Examiner nor any other known prior art, either alone or in combination, disclose the unique combination of features disclosed in Applicant's claims presently on file. For this reason, allowance of all of Applicant's claims is respectfully solicited.

Applicant hereby declare that any amendments herein that are not specifically made for the purpose of patentability are made for other purposes, such as clarification, and that no such

Appl. No.: 10/774,219
Amdt. Dated: March 2, 2005
Reply of Office action of December 10, 2004

Docket No. NEUB-10048

changes shall be construed as limiting the scope of the claims or the application of the Doctrine of Equivalents.

If any fees, including extension of time fees or additional claims fees, are due as a result of this response, please charge Deposit Account No. 19-0513. This authorization is intended to act as a constructive petition for an extension of time, should an extension of time be needed as a result of this response. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if this would in any way advance the prosecution of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 2, 2005

By: 

Albert L. Schmeiser
Reg. No. 30,681

SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS LLP
18 E. University Dr., Suite 101
Mesa, AZ 85202
(480) 655-0073